


**A systematic review of the neurophysiology of mindfulness
on EEG oscillations**

Journal:	<i>Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience</i>
Manuscript ID:	SCAN-15-205
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Apr-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Lomas, Tim; University of East London, Psychology; Tim Lomas, Ivtzan, Itai; University of East London, Psychology Fu, Cynthia; University of East London, Psychology
Keywords:	Mindfulness, Meditation, EEG, Neurophysiology, Systematic review



Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 **Title**

4 A systematic review of the neurophysiology of mindfulness on EEG oscillations
5
6
7

8
9 **Authors**

10 Tim Lomas ¹, Itai Ivtzan ¹, Cynthia H.Y. Fu ^{1,2}
11
12

13
14
15 **Affiliations:**

- 16
17 1. School of Psychology, University of East London, London, UK
18
19 2. Centre for Affective Disorders, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience,
20
21 King's College London, London, UK
22
23

24
25 **Author for Correspondence:**

26 Dr. Tim Lomas, School of Psychology, University of East London, Arthur Edwards Building,
27 Water Lane, London, E15 4LZ, United Kingdom
28
29 Tel: +44 (0)20 8223 4465 Fax: +44 (0)20 8223 4937 Email: t.lomas@uel.ac.uk
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Abstract:

Mindfulness meditation has been purported as a beneficial practice for wellbeing. It would be expected that the neurophysiology of mindfulness would reflect this impact on wellbeing. However, investigations of the effect of mindfulness have generated mixed reports of increases, decreases, as well as no differences in EEG oscillations in comparison with a resting state and a variety of tasks. We have performed systematic review of EEG studies of mindfulness meditation in order to determine any common effects and to identify factors which may impact on the effects. Databases were reviewed from 1966 to September 2014. Eligibility criteria included empirical quantitative analyses of mindfulness meditation practice and EEG measurements acquired in relation to practice. A total of 46 papers met the eligibility criteria and were included in the systematic review, consisting of a total 1,440 subjects: 1,213 healthy individuals and 227 individuals with psychiatric diagnoses. Studies were principally examined for power outcomes in each bandwidth, in particular the power differentials between mindfulness and the control state, as well as outcomes relating to hemispheric asymmetry and event-related potentials. Most commonly, mindfulness was associated with enhanced alpha and theta power as compared to an eyes closed resting state, although such outcomes were not uniformly reported. No consistent patterns were observed with respect to beta, delta and gamma bandwidths. In summary, mindfulness is associated with increased alpha and theta power in both healthy individuals and in patient groups. This co-presence of elevated alpha and theta may signify a state of relaxed alertness which is conducive to mental health.

Keywords: mindfulness; meditation; neurophysiology; EEG; systematic review.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Introduction

Meditation is believed to have originated with Buddhism around the fifth millennium B.C. (Cousins, 1996) and may date back to the third millennium B.C. in Hindu culture (Dumoulin, 1979). It is usually applied as an umbrella term for a diverse range of activities, which share a common focus on the regulation of attention and awareness (Cahn and Polich, 2006) in order to improve voluntary control of mental processes which is purported to foster general wellbeing (Walsh and Shapiro, 2006).

The most common forms of meditation may be conceptualized as involving either focused attention or an open-monitoring form of process (Lutz et al., 2008). Focused attention practices may be operationalized into their respective attention networks: sustained attention (e.g. towards a target, such as the breath), executive attention (e.g. preventing one's focus from 'wandering'), attention switching (e.g. disengaging from distractions), and selective attention (e.g. redirecting focus back to the target) (Posner and Petersen, 1990; Mirsky et al., 1991; Lutz et al., 2008). Open-monitoring refers to a broader receptive awareness or capacity to detect events within an unrestricted awareness without a specific focus (Raffone and Srinivasan, 2010).

Mindfulness is a prominent example of open-monitoring, which has been described as the awareness that arises through purposeful attention on the present moment with nonjudgmental experience (Kabat-Zinn, 2003). In actuality, most approaches involve an admixture of both focused attention and open-monitoring. For example, most mindfulness practices begin with a period of focused attention on a target, such as the breath in order to focus awareness, followed by the more receptive state of open-monitoring (Cahn and Polich, 2006).

Mindfulness has been applied as a clinical intervention based on the notion that it is a method for training attention and awareness. By developing the ability to observe one's thoughts and feelings, practitioners learn how to 'decentre' (Fresco et al., 2007) and how to

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 relate differently to thoughts, feelings and bodily sensations (Shapiro et al., 2005).

4
5 Mindfulness was initially applied as an intervention for chronic pain (Kabat-Zinn, 1982), and
6
7 mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) (Kabat-Zinn, 1982) has since been applied in
8
9 cancer (Ledesma & Kumano, 2009), migraine (Schmidt et al., 2010), and adapted as
10
11 mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT) to prevent relapse in depression (Segal et al.,
12
13 2002).

14
15
16 The effectiveness of mindfulness has been assessed by measures of depression and quality
17
18 of life (Hofmann et al., 2010). As mindfulness may be considered to be a method of
19
20 attention training, the corresponding neurophysiological states should be observable.
21
22 Electroencephalography (EEG) is a non-invasive technique that analyzes spatiotemporal
23
24 aspects of underlying brain activity, which provides a measure of the large-scale
25
26 synchronization of neural networks (Cacioppo et al., 2007). Patterns of EEG activity to
27
28 particular meditative states have been investigated. A commonly reported feature of
29
30 meditation has been theta and alpha event-related synchronization (Fell et al., 2010), which
31
32 are regarded as markers of internally-directed attention processing (Shaw, 1996). Such
33
34 synchronization has been observed across different meditation practices, including
35
36 mindfulness, as well as practices such as transcendental meditation, which involves focused
37
38 attention upon an internally-voiced mantra. However, different types of meditation practice,
39
40 such as mindfulness, focused attention and relaxation, has been associated with unique
41
42 frequency patterns, reflecting the form of attention (Dunn et al., 1999). For example,
43
44 mindfulness has been associated with increase alpha power while focused attention has
45
46 been associated with increased gamma activity and idiosyncratic meditation with decreased
47
48 alpha and beta (Hinterberger et al., 2014).

49
50
51 In the present review, we have focused on mindfulness meditation. We have examined
52
53 factors which appear to impact upon EEG measures including the experience of the
54
55 meditator, being a novice or relative expert, as experience has been reported to accentuate
56
57 amplitude differences between meditation and the resting state (Hinterberger et al., 2014)
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 while the converse has also been observed (Cahn et al., 2010). An additional factor
4 includes the location of the brain activity. For example, increased alpha during mindfulness
5 has been localized to frontal regions (Takahashi et al., 2005) but has also been observed
6 increases in posterior regions (Lagopoulos et al., 2009; Cahn et al., 2010). Furthermore,
7 EEG analysis of meditation may be affected by whether the control task is a resting state or
8 a cognitive task as increased theta amplitude during meditation has been observed in
9 comparison to a resting state baseline, but was comparable in amplitude to an executive
10 attention task, which may be further modulated by the experience of the meditator (Lomas et
11 al., 2014).

12
13 We sought to perform a systematic review of patterns of electrophysiological activity
14 associated mindfulness in order to examine the impact on neurophysiology as assessed by
15 EEG bandwidth activation and other measures, including hemispheric asymmetry or event-
16 related potential, and the functional significance of these activities. If mindfulness is
17 expected to impact on functioning attentional networks as well as open-monitoring, then we
18 would expect to observe distinct neural features associated with its practice. We also
19 expected that the experience of the meditator, type of control task, and location of the EEG
20 oscillation would moderate the impact of mindfulness on the neurophysiology.

21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 **Methods**

43
44
45 The literature search was conducted using the MEDLINE and Scopus electronic databases
46 with the criteria: "EEG" (AND) "mindfulness OR meditation", in all fields in MEDLINE and
47 limited to article title, abstract, and keywords in Scopus, with the dates: from 1966 to 1st
48 September 2014. The participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes and study design
49 (PICOS) characteristics, the key criteria were interventions: mindfulness meditation or
50 functional equivalent; participants: adults; and outcomes: EEG analysis. Studies were
51 required to be published, or a manuscript in press, and to be in English. The review was
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-
4 Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). The review protocol was registered with
5 the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) database on
6
7 15th September 2014. Registration number: CRD42014013766
8
9 (<http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO>).

10
11
12
13
14 Inclusion criteria were: 1) mindfulness meditation practice or functional equivalent, such as
15
16 Vipassana or Zen meditation; 2) EEG measurements acquired in relation to mindfulness
17
18 meditation practice (whether assessment during the practice itself or connected to its
19
20 practice, e.g., pre- and post-intervention); 3) quantitative analysis supported by appropriate
21
22 statistical methodology; and exclusion criteria; and 4) adult sample; and exclusion criteria: 1)
23
24 theoretical articles or commentaries without statistical analyses.
25

26
27 The following variables were extracted from each paper: experimental protocol (control
28
29 condition, meditation condition, and/or experimental task), experience of participants (novice
30
31 or expert), sample features (clinical or non-clinical), outcomes for each individual bandwidth
32
33 (alpha, beta, theta, delta, and gamma), hemispheric asymmetry, and any event-related
34
35 potential outcomes.
36

37
38 The primary summary measures were differences in levels of power in each of the
39
40 bandwidths. Neural activity generates electrical potentials which can be analyzed in terms of
41
42 parameters of amplitude, frequency, coherence and synchrony. Amplitude, or power, which
43
44 is the square of the amplitude, reflects the magnitude of the electrical signal, representing
45
46 the level of synchronized activity in the underlying tissue, i.e. neurons discharging
47
48 simultaneously. Frequency is the number of oscillatory cycles per second and is divided into
49
50 the following bandwidths: Delta (1-4 Hz); Theta (4-8 Hz); Alpha (8-13 Hz); Beta (13–30 Hz);
51
52 and Gamma (36-44 Hz) (Cacioppo et al., 2007). EEG connectivity is the functional
53
54 integration of spatially distributed neural populations which can be assessed in terms of
55
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

synchrony, the degree of leading or lagging in the relationship between signals from electrode pairs, and coherence, the stability of that phase relationship.

The primary summary variable was principally the difference in power between a meditation condition and a resting state condition. Secondary power differentials included longitudinal pre- and post- differences, such as, in meditation and/or resting state and/or task conditions before and after an intervention. If applicable, outcomes relating to coherence, synchrony, asymmetry and event-related potentials were also noted.

Of note, there was considerable diversity in how the experience of the participant was defined. In terms of years meditating, the range for which papers rated participants as being 'experienced' varied from 1 year (Kasamatsu and Hirai, 1966) to 9 years (Lagopoulos et al., 2009). Likewise, in terms of hours meditating, the range for which papers rated participants as being 'experienced' varied from 40 hours (Hinterberger et al., 2011) to 1740 hours (Berkovich-Ohana et al., 2012). In the present systematic review, we have applied the lowest of these cutoffs such that an 'experienced' (i.e., non-novice) meditator was considered to have been meditating for longer than 1 year or have completed more than 40 hours of meditation.

The Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies (QATQS; National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools, 2008) was used to assess the quality of the studies. QATQS assesses methodological rigor in six areas: (a) selection bias; (b) design; (c) confounders; (d) blinding; (e) data collection method; and (f) withdrawals and drop-outs. Each area is assessed on a quality score of 1 to 3 (1 = weak; 2 = moderate; 3 = strong). Scores for each area were collated, and a global score was assigned to each study. If there are no weak ratings, the study is given a score of 1 (judged as strong); one weak rating leads to a score of 2 (moderate); and two or more weak ratings generates a score of 3 (weak) (Supplementary Materials). QATQS scoring was conducted (II) and checked independently (TL). Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion with agreement reached in all cases.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

The first authors of each paper were contacted for additional information as needed (Amihai and Kozhevnikov, 2014; Arita, 2012; Cahn et al., 2010; Cahn et al., 2013; Hinterberger et al., 2011; Hinterberger et al., Walach, 2014; Howells et al., 2012; Huang and Lo, 2009; Lagopoulos et al., 2009; Lehmann et al., 2012; Lo et al., 2003; Milz et al., 2014; Murata et al., 2004; Saggari et al., 2012; Stinson and Arthur, 2013; Tang et al., 2009; Xue et al., 2014). Data were extracted (TL) and reviewed (II) with guidance and review (CF).

Results

Search results

Following removal of duplicate citations, 274 potentially relevant papers were identified (294 articles from Scopus, 283 articles from MEDLINE, and 12 from the reference lists of articles). From the abstract review, 120 papers were excluded. From the full text reviews of 154 papers, 108 papers were excluded. Thus, a total of 46 papers were included in the systematic analysis. Eight of these papers were identified as reporting on overlapping samples: (Berkovich-Ohana et al., 2012; Berkovich-Ohana et al., 2013); (Cahn et al., 2010; Cahn et al., 2013); (Slagter et al., 2007; Slagter et al., 2009); (Hinterberger et al., 2011; Hinterberger et al., 2014). As such, the 46 papers included in the systematic analysis represented results from 42 independent participant samples ($n = 1,440$ subjects; age range = 19-72 years) (Figure 1). 40 papers focused on healthy participants, representing results from 36 independent samples ($n = 1,213$ subjects; age range = 18-72 years)(Table 1), and 6 papers included participants with a psychiatric disorder, representing results from 6 independent samples ($n = 227$ subjects; age range = 22-64 years): 3 studies on depressed patients in remission ($n = 157$), 1 study of patients with suicidal depression ($n = 22$), 1 study of patients with bipolar disorder ($n = 21$), and 1 study of patients with chronic pain ($n = 27$)(Table 2).

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

The findings fall into two main types: (a) studies examining the effects of mindfulness in comparison with a resting state; and (b) studies examining longitudinal changes in EEG patterns relating to practicing mindfulness (Table 3, Supplementary Tables 3-9).

Effects of mindfulness on neurophysiology

Nineteen studies examined the alpha bandwidth, reporting greater amplitude during mindfulness in comparison with an eyes-closed resting state ($n = 11$), lower amplitude ($n = 1$), and no significant differences ($n = 3$) (Table 3). Most of the studies involved experienced meditators; novice participants were involved in 4 of the reports of greater amplitude and 1 of the reports of no significant differences. Coherence was examined in 2 papers, with mixed results, and more complex analyses in another 2 papers.

The beta bandwidth was examined in 11 studies which compared mindfulness with a resting state, reporting greater amplitude during mindfulness ($n = 2$; including $n = 1$ with novice meditators), lower amplitude ($n = 1$), and no significant differences ($n = 5$, including $n = 2$ novices). Coherence ($n = 1$, with no difference found), asynchrony ($n = 1$, finding higher synchrony with meditation) and more complex analyses ($n = 1$) were also examined.

The theta bandwidth was examined in 17 studies, reporting greater amplitude during mindfulness ($n = 9$; including $n = 2$ with novices), lower amplitude ($n = 3$; including $n = 2$ with novices), and no significant differences ($n = 2$; $n = 1$ with novices). Coherence ($n = 2$, with no difference found), and more complex analyses ($n = 1$) were also examined.

The delta bandwidth was examined in 5 studies, reporting greater amplitude during mindfulness ($n = 1$, with novices, limited to frontal regions) as well as no significant differences ($n = 3$; $n = 1$ with novices). More complex analyses ($n = 1$) were also examined.

The gamma bandwidth was examined in 6 studies, reporting greater amplitude during mindfulness ($n = 3$) and no significant differences ($n = 2$; $n = 1$ with novices). Coherence (n

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

= 1, with no difference found) and asymmetry ($n = 3$, finding greater left-sided activation ($n = 2$) and no differences ($n = 1$) were also examined.

Longitudinal neurophysiological changes associated with mindfulness practice

In healthy individuals, learning mindfulness was associated with decreased alpha amplitude ($n = 2$ studies), increased ($n = 1$) as well as decreased ($n = 1$) theta amplitude, and changes in asymmetry with an increase relative left-sided activation ($n = 1$).

In participants with chronic pain, a course of mindfulness was associated with a decrease in beta amplitude ($n = 1$). In patients with depression and suicidal ideation, a relative increase in left-sided activation following mindfulness training was observed, while the inverse pattern with a relative decrease in left-sided activation was reported in patients in remission from depression.

Discussion

The main finding to emerge from the systematic review is an increase in alpha power associated with mindfulness relative to a resting state. Additional effects have been reported in the oscillation bandwidths, including a majority trend towards increased theta power during meditation compared to resting state. The patterns of increased alpha and theta amplitude associated with meditation were observed in both experienced and novice meditators. Clinical studies of mindfulness-based interventions revealed a shift towards greater relative left-sided activation which may be associated with increased positive affect. However, these findings have been mixed with reports of increases, decreases as well as no differences, particularly in other bandwidths, but also in alpha and theta bandwidths.

Alpha synchronization has been regarded as one of the 'signatures' of meditation as it has been consistently observed across a range of different meditation practices relatively independent of both technique and degree of practice (Fell et al., 2010). In the present

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 review, increased alpha synchronization during meditation as compared to a resting state
4 was reported 65% of papers that analyzed this outcome (11 out of 17), all of which involved
5 healthy participants, including both novice (Lo et al., 2003; Milz et al., 2014; Takahashiet al.,
6 2005; Yu et al., 2011) and experienced meditators (Arita, 2012; Cahn et al., 2013; Dunn et
7 al., 1999; Hinterberger et al., 2014; Huang and Lo, 2009; Kasamatsu and Hirai, 1966;
8 Lagopoulos et al., 2009). Most of the studies had examined participants during mindfulness
9 in comparison to a resting state with eyes closed with a few exceptions (ex. Takahashiet al.,
10 2005). However, the findings have not been wholly consistent as a few studies found no
11 differences with mindfulness in novice (Kubota et al., 2001) or experienced (Cahn et al.,
12 2010; Lehmann et al., 2012) participants, as well as decreased alpha power during
13 mindfulness (Amihai and Kozhevnikov, 2014). None of the studies involving clinical
14 populations analyzed or reported findings on alpha power.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 The functional significance of alpha has been much debated. Alpha synchronization has
29 been understood as reflecting the 'de-activation' of cortical areas as a signifier of the brain
30 'idling' since it occurs during relaxed eyes closed wakefulness (Shaw, 1996; Pfurtscheller et
31 al., 1996). The increase in alpha synchronization with mindfulness as compared to an eyes
32 closed rest may indicate even greater levels of synchronization associated with mindfulness.
33 According to the 'brain idling' hypothesis, the effect suggests that meditation generates
34 greater cortical de-activation than during an eyes closed resting state. However, Shaw
35 (1996) proposes that there is a paradoxical response which distinguishes between 'outer-
36 directed' and 'inner-directed' attention. While 'outer-directed' attention is associated with
37 alpha desynchronisation, 'inner-directed' attention, which is also referred to as 'intention,' is
38 associated with increases in alpha power. In support, tasks requiring memory (Jensen et al.,
39 2002) and imagination (Cooper et al., 2006) lead to increases in alpha power. As
40 mindfulness is linked to the training and development of attention and awareness (Walsh
41 and Shapiro, 2006), it possible to infer that increased alpha power associated with
42 mindfulness is evidence that alpha synchronization is indeed a signifier of 'inner directed'
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 attention. Moreover, comparisons of mindfulness with performance on attention tasks have
4 reported no differences in alpha power with eyes closed while attending to auditory clicks
5 (Becker and Shapiro, 1981); with a time production task (Berkovich-Ohana et al., 2013); and
6
7 with an eyes-open session watching a video about neurofeedback (Stinson and Arthur,
8
9 2013); although Ren et al. (2011) found lower levels of alpha compared to a problem-solving
10
11 task. Furthermore, the development of increased alpha power would thus be expected to be
12
13 a marker of the proficiency of the participant to achieve a state of mindfulness.
14
15

16
17
18 With regards to beta oscillations, of the 11 studies which compared beta activity in
19
20 meditation with eyes closed rest in healthy individuals, only 2 studies reported that beta
21
22 amplitude was higher in meditation in both experienced meditators (Cahn et al., 2013) and
23
24 novices (Dunn et al., 1999). Five studies found no significant differences in experienced
25
26 practitioners (Cahn et al., 2010; Lagopoulos et al., 2009; Lehmann et al., 2012) and in
27
28 novices (Milz et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2011), while one study observed lower beta amplitude in
29
30 meditation in experienced practitioners (Amihai and Kozhevnikov, 2014), and 5 studies
31
32 found no significant differences (Cahn et al., 2010; Lagopoulos et al., 2009; Lehmann et al.,
33
34 2012; Milz et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2011). A comparison of mindfulness with task
35
36 performance, an eyes open session watching a video about neurofeedback, reported lower
37
38 amplitude in meditation relative to the task (Stinson and Arthur, 2013). Only one paper
39
40 reported on beta power in clinical populations, observing pre-post longitudinal decreases in
41
42 beta power during the resting state which was linked to the practice of mindfulness (Howells
43
44 et al., 2012).
45

46
47
48 Interpretations of the significance of beta are mixed because it has been proposed to reflect
49
50 a reduction in cortical activity as it is associated with barbiturates and benzodiazepines use
51
52 (Herning et al., 1994) but beta activity has also been attenuated with increasing cognitive
53
54 task demands (Ray and Cole, 1985) while around 20% of patients with deficit hyperactivity
55
56 disorder exhibit 'excessive' beta activity, which is associated with elevated behavioural
57
58 problems (Clarke et al., 2001).
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 Increased theta power has been considered to be another key feature of meditation
4
5 (Josipovic, 2010; Fell et al., 2010). This pattern was to some extent borne out in the review
6
7 and was observed in both novice and experienced meditators, although there did appear to
8
9 be a slight weighting towards this effect being more prevalent in experienced practitioners.
10
11 Of the 17 studies that that compared theta activity in meditation with eyes closed rest, a
12
13 majority ($n = 9$) reported that theta power was higher in mindfulness, including 7 with
14
15 experienced practitioners (Arita, 2012; Cahn et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2008; Kasamatsu and
16
17 Hirai, 1966; Lagopoulos et al., 2009; Lomas et al., 2014), but only 2 with novices (Kubota et
18
19 al., 2001; Takahashiet al., 2005). Against this, 3 studies reported that theta was lower
20
21 during mindfulness compared to eyes-closed rest, 2 of which involved novices (Dunn et al.,
22
23 1999; Yu et al., 2011) and 1 involving experienced practitioners (Huang and Lo, 2009).
24
25 Moreover, two studies found no significant differences in experienced (Amihai and
26
27 Kozhevnikov, 2014) and novice practitioners (Milz et al., 2014). An additional two
28
29 longitudinal studies also observed pre-post decreases in theta power during the resting state
30
31 which was linked to the practice of mindfulness (Saggar et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2009).
32
33 Only one paper reported on theta power in clinical populations, observing pre-post
34
35 longitudinal increases in theta power (during the resting state) linked to the practice of
36
37 mindfulness (Howells et al., 2012).

38
39
40 The presence of theta along with alpha synchronization during mindfulness lends support to
41
42 the hypothesis that increased alpha power during signifies internalized attention rather than
43
44 the brain 'idling' because theta synchronization is widely viewed as a marker of executive
45
46 functioning. Theta activity has been linked to various types of cognitive activity, including
47
48 switching and orienting attention (Dietl et al., 1999), processing of new information
49
50 (Grunwald et al., 1999), and memory in episodic encoding and retrieval (Klimesch et al.,
51
52 1997), and theta power increases as task demands increase (Klimesch et al., 1997). Taken
53
54 together, the finding suggests that mindfulness constitutes a state of enhanced internally-
55
56 directed attention. Theta oscillations during wakefulness generally occur maximally in the
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 frontal-midline regions of the brain, particularly in the prefrontal cortex (Asada et al., 1999)
4
5 and may be localized to the anterior cingulate cortex (Onton et al., 2005), in contrast to theta
6
7 activity during REM sleep, which is generated mainly by the hippocampus (Cantero et al.,
8
9 2003). These regions are centrally involved in the executive control of attention, as well as
10
11 other higher-level cognitive activities such as volition and planning (Posner and Dehaene,
12
13 1994; Miller and Cohen, 2001), and have been proposed as central to the development of
14
15 attention and awareness in meditation (Newberg and Iversen, 2003).
16

17
18 This interpretation is strengthened by the differences observed between experienced and
19
20 novice practitioners, in which the former were more reliably found across the studies to
21
22 exhibit higher levels of theta activation during meditation in comparison to a resting state,
23
24 suggesting that enhanced theta activation during meditation is to some extent a function of
25
26 training and practice in meditation by learning to maintain an inner-directed attention.
27

28 Furthermore, it has been suggested that the co-presence of theta and alpha in mindfulness
29
30 indicates a state of 'relaxed alertness' (Britton et al., 2014), which is corroborated by
31
32 qualitative self-reports of their experiences in mindfulness (Cahn and Polich, 2006).
33

34
35 Fewer studies have reported delta and gamma activity with mixed findings and have all been
36
37 limited to healthy individuals. Slow wave delta band activity is more commonly associated
38
39 with sleep, particularly during deep non-REM stages (Hofle et al., 1997). It has been
40
41 suggested though that an increase in delta activity during wakefulness reflects attention to
42
43 internal processing during the performance of cognitive tasks, such as difficult arithmetical
44
45 calculation tasks (Harmony et al., 1996). The reports of delta activity have generally found
46
47 no differences (Lagopoulos et al., 2009; Amihai and Kozhevnikov, 2014; Milz et al., 2014),
48
49 although reduced (Dunn et al., 1999) as well as increased amplitudes, which were localized
50
51 to frontal regions (Cahn et al., 2010), have been described in comparison to an eyes closed
52
53 resting state in novice (Dunn et al., 1999; Milz et al., 2014) and experienced (Lagopoulos et
54
55 al., 2009; Cahn et al., 2010; Amihai and Kozhevnikov, 2014) meditators. Stinson and Arthur
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

(2013) also found lower amplitude during meditation compared a control task of watching a neurofeedback video.

Gamma synchronization is purported to reflect activity in the default mode network (Berkovich-Ohana et al., 2012) which refers to the self-referential and reflective thoughts that occur in the absence of requirements to respond to external stimuli (Buckner, Andrews-Hanna, and Schacter, 2008). With mindfulness, gamma power has been reported as increased (Berkovich-Ohana et al., 2012; Cahn et al., 2010; Lehmann et al., 2012) as well as showing no differences (Amihai and Kozhevnikov, 2014; Milz et al., 2014) in comparison with an eyes closed resting state. Of interest, increased gamma activity was observed in experienced meditators (Berkovich-Ohana et al., 2012; Cahn et al., 2010; Lehmann et al., 2012), although no differences were also found in both experienced (Amihai and Kozhevnikov, 2014) and novice (Milz et al., 2014) meditators. In comparison with a control task, lower amplitude was reported during mindfulness as compared to a neurofeedback video task (Stinson and Arthur, 2013). In addition, Ferrarelli et al. (2013) reported a correlation between meditation experience and gamma power during non-REM sleep, but Berkovich-Ohana et al. (2012) found no difference in coherence between meditation and rest. Gamma oscillations have also been implicated in theories of consciousness, in which the fast rhythmic synchronization of neural discharges provide the necessary spatial and temporal links to bind processing across different brain areas, thereby integrating disparate experiential qualia into a coherent state of moment-to-moment awareness (Singer, 1993; Tallon-Baudry and Bertrand, 1999). Increased gamma power during mindfulness thus might indicate a more unified and coherent mental state.

In addition to analysis of specific bandwidths, patterns of asymmetric brain activation have been examined in which left prefrontal activity has been associated with positive affect and 'approach-related' behavior, while right prefrontal activity with negative affect and 'withdrawal-related' behavior (Davidson, 1992). If mindfulness is associated with enhanced subjective wellbeing, then its practice should be linked to greater left prefrontal activity.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 Such an asymmetry has been observed during mindfulness in experienced meditators
4 relative to an eyes closed resting state (Amihai and Kozhevnikov, 2014; Chan et al., 2008).
5
6 Following mindfulness training, similar changes have been reported in novice participants
7 who were healthy volunteers (Davidson et al., 2003) as well as with a history of suicidal
8 ideation (Barnhofer et al., 2007). In novice participants with a history of depression, there
9 have been reports of no differences (Milz et al., 2014), increased (Barnhofer et al., 2010)
10 and decreased (Keune et al., 2011) left-sided activation.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Using event-related potentials, reduced P3b in response to distractor stimuli (Slagter et al.,
19 2007) and faster attentional disengagement from a dominant global presentation in order to
20 focus in on specific stimuli (van Leeuwen et al., 2012) was observed in experienced
21 meditators, corroborating the notion of mindfulness as a system of attention training.
22
23 Moreover, anticipatory and pain-evoked ERPs to acute pain were reduced in participants
24 who received mindfulness training but not in controls (Brown and Jones, 2013). Sobolewski
25 et al. (2011) explored the impact of meditation practice on late positive potential (LPP), the
26 amplitude of which tends to be greater in ERPs evoked by emotionally arousing images,
27 particularly ones that are negatively valenced. While control participants with no meditation
28 experience showed an increase in LLP amplitude in response to negative stimuli, no such
29 increases were observed in meditators, suggesting that the latter were less affected by
30 negative emotional load than control participants; in contrast, both groups responded equally
31 to positively-valenced stimuli. Teper and Inzlicht (2014) explored participants' neuroaffective
32 reaction to rewarding, aversive and neutral feedback, as gauged by feedback-related
33 negativity (FRN), a brain response that differentiates positive from negative feedback,
34 reporting that trait levels of mindfulness in novice meditators predicted less differentiation of
35 reward from neutral feedback. Lakey et al. (2011) explored the impact of brief mindfulness
36 training on performance of a P300-based brain-compute interface task. Compared to non-
37 meditating control participants, the experimental subjects produced significantly larger P300
38 amplitudes and were also more accurate at the task which was understood as suggesting
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

that the experimental participants were better able to harness present-moment attentional resources.

A significant limitation of the present systematic review has been the variability of the measures which were acquired and reported such that a meta-analysis was not feasible for any of the measures because there were no more than 3 studies which used the same measure at the same site. The quality was assessed for each of the studies using the Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies (National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools, 2008), revealing considerable variation. Clinical studies were generally of higher quality as they tended to keep track of withdrawal and attrition rates and used standardized meditation protocols. Furthermore, a key issue was limited reporting on participants' prior level of meditation experience. Some studies reported this in terms of years, some in terms of total number of hours, and a few omitted to specify this. Moreover, there was variation in the criteria for which studies rated participants as 'experienced'; in terms of years, this ranged from 1 year (Kasamatsu and Hirai, 1966) to 9 years (Lagopoulos et al., 2009), while in terms of hours this ranged from 40 hours (Hinterberger et al., 2011) to 1740 hours (Berkovich-Ohana et al., 2012). We applied the lowest of these cutoffs such that an 'experienced' (i.e., non-novice) meditator was considered to have been meditating for longer than 1 year or have completed more than 40 hours of meditation. Arguably hours would be a better metric than years since it better reflects a person's general amount of practice; however, it is recommended that future studies report both hours and years which would provide some indication of the 'intensity' of participants' practice. Another issue was key poor and/or inconsistent reporting on the nature of participants' meditation practice. Although all the studies included in the review featured mindfulness specifically (or a functional equivalent), even this is a somewhat generic label, with nuances and differences among practices that can be classified as such mindfulness prior level of meditation experience. Many studies had not described in detail the form and type of mindfulness practice engaged in by participants.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 In conclusion, the burgeoning literature on EEG investigations of mindfulness is beginning to
4 highlight some consistent trends, most notably with respect to increased amplitude in the
5 alpha and theta bandwidths. The co-presence of elevated alpha and theta waves may reflect
6 a state of 'relaxed alertness as alpha and theta can both be interpreted as signifiers of
7 increased attention with alpha specifically representing internalized attention and both have
8 also been identified as indexing states of relaxation. Further work will be needed to explore
9 the nuances of brain states associated with mindfulness, particularly with respect to the
10 other bandwidths and measures such as ERP and asymmetry, to elucidate the differences
11 between mindfulness and other meditation practices, and to further explore the impact of
12 factors such as degree of meditation practice.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

References

- Aftanas, L. I., & Golocheikine, S. A. (2001). Human anterior and frontal midline theta and lower alpha reflect emotionally positive state and internalized attention: High-resolution EEG investigation of meditation. *Neuroscience Letters*, *310*(1), 57-60. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940\(01\)02094-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(01)02094-8)
- Amihai, I., & Kozhevnikov, M. (2014). Arousal vs. relaxation: A comparison of the neurophysiological and cognitive correlates of Vajrayana and Theravada meditative practices. *PLoS One*. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102990
- Arita, H. (2012). Anterior prefrontal cortex and serotonergic system activation during Zen meditation practice induces negative mood improvement and increased alpha band in EEG. *Rinsho Shinkeigaku (Clinical Neurology)*, *52*(11), 1279-1280. doi: 10.5692/clinicalneuro.52.1279
- Asada, H., Fukuda, Y., Tsunoda, S., Yamaguchi, M., & Tonoike, M. (1999). Frontal midline theta rhythms reflect alternative activation of prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex in humans. *Neuroscience Letters*, *274*(1), 29-32. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3940(99)00679-5
- Barnhofer, T., Chittka, T., Nightingale, H., Visser, C., & Crane, C. (2010). State effects of two forms of meditation on prefrontal EEG asymmetry in previously depressed individuals. *Mindfulness*, *1*(1), 21-27. doi: 10.1007/s12671-010-0004-7
- Barnhofer, T., Duggan, D., Crane, C., Hepburn, S., Fennell, M. J., & Williams, J. M. (2007). Effects of meditation on frontal alpha-asymmetry in previously suicidal individuals. *Neuroreport*, *18*(7), 709-712. doi: 10.1097/WNR.0b013e3280d943cd
- Becker, D. E., & Shapiro, D. (1981). Physiological responses to clicks during Zen, Yoga, and TM meditation. *Psychophysiology*, *18*(6), 694-699. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1981.tb01846.x
- Berkovich-Ohana, A., Glicksohn, J., & Goldstein, A. (2012). Mindfulness-induced changes in gamma band activity – Implications for the default mode network, self-reference and attention. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *123*(4), 700-710. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2011.07.048>

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 Berkovich-Ohana, A., Glicksohn, J., & Goldstein, A. (2013). Studying the default mode and
4 its mindfulness-induced changes using EEG functional connectivity. *Social Cognitive and*
5 *Affective Neuroscience*. doi: 10.1093/scan/nst153
6
7

8
9 Bostanov, V., Keune, P. M., Kotchoubey, B., & Hautzinger, M. (2012). Event-related brain
10 potentials reflect increased concentration ability after mindfulness-based cognitive therapy
11 for depression: a randomized clinical trial. *Psychiatry Research*, 199(3), 174-180. doi:
12
13 10.1016/j.psychres.2012.05.031
14
15

16
17 Brown, C. A., & Jones, A. K. (2010). Meditation experience predicts less negative appraisal
18 of pain: Electrophysiological evidence for the involvement of anticipatory neural responses.
19 *Pain*, 150(3), 428-438. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2010.04.017
20
21

22
23 Brown, C. A., & Jones, A. K. (2013). Psychobiological correlates of improved mental health
24 in patients with musculoskeletal pain after a mindfulness-based pain management program.
25 *Clinical Journal of Pain*, 29(3), 233-244. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e31824c5d9f
26
27

28
29 Britton, W. B., Lindahl, J. R., Cahn, B. R., Davis, J. H., & Goldman, R. E. (2014). Awakening
30 is not a metaphor: The effects of Buddhist meditation practices on basic wakefulness.
31 *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1307(1), 64-81. doi:
32
33

34
35 10.1111/nyas.12279 Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). The
36 brain's default network. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1124(1), 1-38. doi:
37
38 10.1196/annals.1440.011
39

40
41 Cacioppo, J. T., Tassinary, L. G., & Berntson, G. G. (2007). *Handbook of Psychophysiology*
42 (3rd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
43

44
45 Cahn, B. R., Delorme, A., & Polich, J. (2010). Occipital gamma activation during Vipassana
46 meditation. *Cognitive Processing*, 11(1), 39-56. doi: 10.1007/s10339-009-0352-1
47

48
49 Cahn, B. R., Delorme, A., & Polich, J. (2013). Event-related delta, theta, alpha and gamma
50 correlates to auditory oddball processing during Vipassana meditation. *Social, Cognitive and*
51 *Affective Neuroscience*, 8(1), 100-111. doi: 10.1093/scan/nss060
52
53

54
55 Cahn, B. R., & Polich, J. (2006). Meditation states and traits: EEG, ERP, and neuroimaging
56 studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(2), 180-211. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.132.2.180
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 Cantero, J. L., Atienza, M., Stickgold, R., Kahana, M. J., Madsen, J. R., & Kocsis, B. (2003).
4 Sleep-dependent {theta} oscillations in the human hippocampus and neocortex. *The Journal*
5 *of Neuroscience*, 23(34), 10897-10903.
6
7

8
9 Chalmers, D. J. (1995). Facing up to the problem of consciousness. *Journal of*
10 *Consciousness Studies*, 2, 200-219.
11

12
13 Chambers, R., Lo, B., & Allen, N. (2008). The impact of intensive mindfulness training on
14 attentional control, cognitive style, and affect. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 32(3), 303-
15 322.10.1007/s10608-007-9119-0
16
17

18
19 Chan, A. S., Han, Y. M., & Cheung, M. C. (2008). Electroencephalographic (EEG)
20 measurements of mindfulness-based Triarchic body-pathway relaxation technique: A pilot
21 study. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 33(1), 39-47. doi: 10.1007/s10484-008-
22 9050-5
23
24
25
26

27
28 Chiesa, A., Calati, R., & Serretti, A. (2011). Does mindfulness training improve cognitive
29 abilities? A systematic review of neuropsychological findings. *Clinical Psychology Review*,
30 31(3), 449-464. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2010.11.003
31
32

33
34 Clarke, A. R., Barry, R. J., McCarthy, R., & Selikowitz, M. (2001). Excess beta activity in
35 children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: An atypical electrophysiological group.
36 *Psychiatry Research*, 103(2), 205-218. doi: 10.1016/S0165-1781(01)00277-3
37
38

39
40 Cooper, N. R., Burgess, A. P., Croft, R. J., & Gruzelier, J. H. (2006). Investigating evoked
41 and induced electroencephalogram activity in task-related alpha power increases during an
42 internally directed attention task. *Neuroreport*, 17(2), 205-208.
43
44

45
46 Cousins, L. S. (1996). The dating of the historical Buddha: A review article. *Journal of the*
47 *Royal Asiatic Society (Third Series)*, 6(1), 57-63. doi: doi:10.1017/S1356186300014760
48
49

50
51 Davidson, R. J. (1992). Anterior cerebral asymmetry and the nature of emotion. *Brain and*
52 *Cognition*, 20(1), 125-151. doi: 10.1016/0278-2626(92)90065-T
53

54
55 Davidson, R. J., Kabat-Zinn, J., Schumacher, J., Rosenkranz, M., Muller, D., Santorelli, S.
56 F., . . . Sheridan, J. F. (2003). Alterations in brain and immune function produced by
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

mindfulness meditation. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65(4), 564-570. doi:

10.1097/01.psy.0000077505.67574.e3

Dietl, T., Dirlich, G., Vogl, L., Lechner, C., & Strian, F. (1999). Orienting response and frontal midline theta activity: A somatosensory spectral perturbation study. *Clinical*

Neurophysiology, 110(7), 1204-1209. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2457\(99\)00057-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2457(99)00057-7)

Dumoulin, H. (1979). *Zen Enlightenment: Origins and Meaning* New York: Wetherhill.

Dunn, B. R., Hartigan, J. A., & Mikulas, W. L. (1999). Concentration and mindfulness meditations: Unique forms of consciousness? *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 24(3), 147-165. doi: 10.1023/A:1023498629385

Fell, J. (2004). Identifying neural correlates of consciousness: The state space approach.

Consciousness and Cognition, 13(4), 709-729. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2004.07.001

Fell, J., Axmacher, N., & Haupt, S. (2010). From alpha to gamma: Electrophysiological correlates of meditation-related states of consciousness. *Medical Hypotheses*, 75(2), 218-224. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2010.02.025>

Ferrarelli, F., Smith, R., Dentico, D., Riedner, B. A., Zennig, C., Benca, R. M., . . . Tononi, G. (2013). Experienced mindfulness meditators exhibit higher parietal-occipital EEG gamma activity during NREM sleep. *PLoS One*, 8(8), e73417. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073417

Fresco, D. M., Moore, M. T., van Dulmen, M. H. M., Segal, Z. V., Ma, S. H., Teasdale, J. D., & Williams, J. M. G. (2007). Initial psychometric properties of the experiences questionnaire: Validation of a self-report measure of decentering. *Behavior Therapy*, 38(3), 234-246. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2006.08.003

Grunwald, M., Weiss, T., Krause, W., Beyer, L., Rost, R., Gutberlet, I., & Gertz, H.-J. (1999). Power of theta waves in the EEG of human subjects increases during recall of haptic information. *Neuroscience Letters*, 260(3), 189-192. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940\(98\)00990-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3940(98)00990-2)

Harmony, T., Fernández, T., Silva, J., Bernal, J., Díaz-Comas, L., Reyes, A., . . . Rodríguez, M. (1996). EEG delta activity: An indicator of attention to internal processing during

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 performance of mental tasks. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 24(1–2), 161-171.

4
5 doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760\(96\)00053-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760(96)00053-0)

6
7 Hering, R. I., Glover, B. J., Koepl, B., Phillips, R. L., & London, E. D. (1994). Cocaine-
8
9 induced increases in EEG alpha and beta activity: evidence for reduced cortical processing.

10
11 *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 11(1), 1-9. doi: 10.1038/npp.1994.30

12
13 Hinterberger, T., Kamei, T., & Walach, H. (2011). Psychophysiological classification and
14
15 staging of mental states during meditative practice. *Biomedizinische Technik (Biomedical*

16
17 *Engineering)*, 56(6), 341-350. doi: 10.1515/bmt.2011.021

18
19 Hinterberger, T., Schmidt, S., Kamei, T., & Walach, H. (2014). Decreased

20
21 electrophysiological activity represents the conscious state of emptiness in meditation.

22
23 *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 99. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00099

24
25 Hofle, N., Paus, T., Reutens, D., Fiset, P., Gotman, J., Evans, A. C., & Jones, B. E. (1997).

26
27 Regional cerebral blood flow changes as a function of delta and spindle activity during slow
28
29 wave sleep in humans. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 17(12), 4800-4808.

30
31 Hofmann, S. G., Sawyer, A. T., Witt, A. A., & Oh, D. (2010). The effect of mindfulness-based
32
33 therapy on anxiety and depression: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Consulting and*

34
35 *Clinical Psychology*, 78(2), 169-183. doi: 10.1037/a0018555

36
37 Howells, F. M., Ives-Deliperi, V. L., Horn, N. R., & Stein, D. J. (2012). Mindfulness based
38
39 cognitive therapy improves frontal control in bipolar disorder: a pilot EEG study. *BMC*

40
41 *Psychiatry*, 12, 15. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-12-15

42
43 Huang, H. Y., & Lo, P. C. (2009). EEG dynamics of experienced Zen meditation practitioners
44
45 probed by complexity index and spectral measure. *Journal of Medical Engineering &*

46
47 *Technology*, 33(4), 314-321. doi: 10.1080/03091900802602677

48
49 Jensen, O., Gelfand, J., Kounios, J., & Lisman, J. E. (2002). Oscillations in the alpha band

50
51 (9–12 Hz) increase with memory load during retention in a short-term memory task. *Cerebral*

52
53 *Cortex*, 12(8), 877-882. doi: doi:10.1093/cercor/12.8.87

54
55

56
57

58
59

60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 Jha, A. P., Krompinger, J., & Baime, M. J. (2007). Mindfulness training modifies subsystems
4 of attention. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 7(2), 109-119. doi:

5
6
7 10.3758/CABN.7.2.109

8
9 Josipovic, Z. (2010). Duality and nonduality in meditation research. *Consciousness and*
10
11 *Cognition*, 19(4), 1119-1121. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2010.03.016>

12
13 Kabat-Zinn, J. (1982). An outpatient program in behavioral medicine for chronic pain patients
14 based on the practice of mindfulness meditation: Theoretical considerations and preliminary
15 results. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 4(1), 33-47. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0163->

16
17
18
19 8343(82)90026-3

20
21 Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future.

22
23 *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 10(2), 144-156. doi: 10.1093/clipsy.bpg016

24
25 Kasamatsu, A., & Hirai, T. (1966). Electroencephalogram study on the Zen meditation

26
27 (Zazen). *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 20(4), 315-336. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-

28
29
30 1819.1966.tb02646.x

31
32 Kerr, C. E., Jones, S. R., Wan, Q., Pritchett, D. L., Wasserman, R. H., Wexler, A., . . . Moore,

33
34 C. I. (2011). Effects of mindfulness meditation training on anticipatory alpha modulation in

35
36 primary somatosensory cortex. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 85(3-4), 96-103. doi:

37
38 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2011.03.026

39
40 Keune, P. M., Bostanov, V., Hautzinger, M., & Kotchoubey, B. (2011). Mindfulness-based
41 cognitive therapy (MBCT), cognitive style, and the temporal dynamics of frontal EEG alpha

42
43 asymmetry in recurrently depressed patients. *Biological Psychology*, 88(2-3), 243-252. doi:

44
45
46 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2011.08.008

47
48 Keune, P. M., Bostanov, V., Hautzinger, M., & Kotchoubey, B. (2013). Approaching

49
50 dysphoric mood: State-effects of mindfulness meditation on frontal brain asymmetry.

51
52 *Biological Psychology*, 93(1), 105-113. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2013.01.016

53
54 Klimesch, W., Doppelmayr, M., Schimke, H., & Ripper, B. (1997). Theta synchronization and

55
56 alpha desynchronization in a memory task. *Psychophysiology*, 34(2), 169-176. doi:

57
58
59 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1997.tb02128.x

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 Kubota, Y., Sato, W., Toichi, M., Murai, T., Okada, T., Hayashi, A., & Sengoku, A. (2001).

4 Frontal midline theta rhythm is correlated with cardiac autonomic activities during the
5 performance of an attention demanding meditation procedure. *Brain Research. Cognitive*
6
7 *Brain Research*, 11(2), 281-287. doi: 10.1016/S0926-6410(00)00086-0

8
9
10
11 Lagopoulos, J., Xu, J., Rasmussen, I., Vik, A., Malhi, G. S., Eliassen, C. F., . . . Ellingsen, O.
12 (2009). Increased theta and alpha EEG activity during nondirective meditation. *Journal of*
13 *Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 15(11), 1187-1192. doi: 10.1089/acm.2009.0113

14
15
16
17 Lakey, C. E., Berry, D. R., & Sellers, E. W. (2011). Manipulating attention via mindfulness
18 induction improves P300-based brain-computer interface performance. *Journal of Neural*
19 *Engineering*, 8(2), 025019. doi: 10.1088/1741-2560/8/2/025019

20
21
22
23 Ledesma, D., & Kumano, H. (2009). Mindfulness-based stress reduction and cancer: A
24 meta-analysis. *Psycho-Oncology*, 18(6), 571-579. doi: doi:10.1002/pon.1400

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Lehmann, D., Faber, P. L., Achermann, P., Jeanmonod, D., Gianotti, L. R., & Pizzagalli, D.
(2001). Brain sources of EEG gamma frequency during volitionally meditation-induced,
altered states of consciousness, and experience of the self. *Psychiatry Research*, 108(2),
111-121. doi: 10.1016/S0925-4927(01)00116-0

Lehmann, D., Faber, P. L., Tei, S., Pascual-Marqui, R. D., Milz, P., & Kochi, K. (2012).
Reduced functional connectivity between cortical sources in five meditation traditions
detected with lagged coherence using EEG tomography. *Neuroimage*, 60(2), 1574-1586.
doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.042

Lo, P. C., & Chang, C. H. (2013). Spatially Nonlinear Interdependence of Alpha-Oscillatory
Neural Networks under Chan Meditation. *Evidence Based Complementary and Alternative*
Medicine, 2013, 360371. doi: 10.1155/2013/360371

Lo, P. C., Huang, M. L., & Chang, K. M. (2003). EEG alpha blocking correlated with
perception of inner light during zen meditation. *American Journal of Chinese Medicine*,
31(4), 629-642. doi: 10.1142/s0192415x03001272

Lomas, T., Edginton, T., Cartwright, T., & Ridge, D. (2014). Men developing emotional
intelligence through meditation? Combining narrative, cognitive, and electroencephalography

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

(EEG) evidence. *Psychology of Men and Masculinity*, 15(2), 213-224. doi:

10.1037/a0032191

Lutz, A., Slagter, H. A., Dunne, J. D., & Davidson, R. J. (2008). Attention regulation and

monitoring in meditation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 12(4), 163-169. doi:

10.1016/j.tics.2008.01.005

Manna, A., Raffone, A., Perrucci, M. G., Nardo, D., Ferretti, A., Tartaro, A., . . . Romani, G.

L. (2010). Neural correlates of focused attention and cognitive monitoring in meditation.

Brain Research Bulletin, 82(1-2), 46-56. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2010.03.001

Miller, E. K., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function.

Annual Review of Neuroscience, 24, 167-202. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167

Milz, P., Faber, P. L., Lehmann, D., Kochi, K., & Pascual-Marqui, R. D. (2014). sLORETA

intracortical lagged coherence during breath counting in meditation-naïve participants. *Front*

Hum Neurosci, 8(303). doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00303

Mirsky, A., Anthony, B., Duncan, C., Ahearn, M., & Kellam, S. (1991). Analysis of the

elements of attention: A neuropsychological approach. *Neuropsychology Review*, 2(2), 109-

145. doi: 10.1007/BF01109051

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for

Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7),

e1000097. doi: doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

Moore, A., Gruber, T., Derose, J., & Malinowski, P. (2012). Regular, brief mindfulness

meditation practice improves electrophysiological markers of attentional control. *Front Hum*

Neurosci, 6, 18. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00018

Murata, T., Takahashi, T., Hamada, T., Omori, M., Kosaka, H., Yoshida, H., & Wada, Y.

(2004). Individual trait anxiety levels characterizing the properties of zen meditation.

Neuropsychobiology, 50(2), 189-194. doi: 10.1159/000079113

National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools (2008). *Quality Assessment Tool for*

Quantitative Studies (QATQS). Hamilton, ON: McMaster University.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

- 1
2
3 Newberg, A. B., & Iversen, J. (2003). The neural basis of the complex mental task of
4 meditation: neurotransmitter and neurochemical considerations. *Medical Hypotheses*, 61(2),
5 282-291. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9877\(03\)00175-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9877(03)00175-0)
6
7
8
9 Onton, J., Delorme, A., & Makeig, S. (2005). Frontal midline EEG dynamics during working
10 memory. *Neuroimage*, 27(2), 341-356. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.04.014
11
12 Pfurtscheller, G., Stancák, A., & Neuper, C. (1996). Event-related synchronization (ERS) in
13 the alpha band — an electrophysiological correlate of cortical idling: A review. *International*
14 *Journal of Psychophysiology*, 24(1-2), 39-46. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8760(96)00066-9
15
16
17
18
19 Posner, M. I., & Dehaene, S. (1994). Attentional networks. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 17(2),
20 75-79. doi: 10.1016/0166-2236(94)90078-7
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Posner, M. I., & Petersen, S. E. (1990). The attention system of the human brain. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 13(1), 25-42. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.13.030190.000325
- Raffone, A., & Srinivasan, N. (2010). The exploration of meditation in the neuroscience of attention and consciousness. *Cognitive Processing*, 11(1), 1-7. doi: 10.1007/s10339-009-0354-z
- Rangaswamy, M., Porjesz, B., Chorlian, D. B., Wang, K., Jones, K. A., Kuperman, S., . . . Reich, T. (2004). Resting EEG in offspring of male alcoholics: beta frequencies. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 51(3), 239-251. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2003.09.003
- Ray, W. J., & Cole, H. W. (1985). EEG alpha activity reflects attentional demands, and beta activity reflects emotional and cognitive processes. *Science*, 228(4700), 750-752. doi: 10.1126/science.3992243
- Ren, J., Huang, Z., Luo, J., Wei, G., Ying, X., Ding, Z., . . . Luo, F. (2011). Meditation promotes insightful problem-solving by keeping people in a mindful and alert conscious state. *Sci China Life Sci*, 54(10), 961-965. doi: 10.1007/s11427-011-4233-3
- Saggar, M., King, B. G., Zanesco, A. P., Maclean, K. A., Aichele, S. R., Jacobs, T. L., . . . Saron, C. D. (2012). Intensive training induces longitudinal changes in meditation state-related EEG oscillatory activity. *Front Hum Neurosci*, 6, 256. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00256

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

- 1
2
3 Schmidt, S., Simshäuser, K., Aickin, M., Lüking, M., Schultz, C., & Kaube, H. (2010).
4
5 Mindfulness-based stress reduction is an effective intervention for patients suffering from
6
7 migraine—Results from a controlled trial. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*, 2(4),
8
9 196-196. doi: 10.1016/j.eujim.2010.09.052
10
11 Segal, Z. V., Williams, J. M. G., & Teasdale, J. D. (2002). Mindfulness-Based Cognitive
12
13 Therapy for Depression: A New Approach to Preventing Relapse. New York: Guilford Press.
14
15 Shapiro, S. L., Astin, J. A., Bishop, S. R., & Cordova, M. (2005). Mindfulness-based stress
16
17 reduction for health care professionals: Results from a randomized trial. *International Journal*
18
19 *of Stress Management*, 12(2), 164-176. doi: 10.1037/1072-5245.12.2.164
20
21 Shaw, J. C. (1996). Intention as a component of the alpha-rhythm response to mental
22
23 activity. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 24(1–2), 7-23. doi:
24
25 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760\(96\)00052-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760(96)00052-9)
26
27 Singer, W. (1993). Synchronization of cortical activity and its putative role in information
28
29 processing and learning. *Annual Review of Physiology*, 55(1), 349-374. doi:
30
31 10.1146/annurev.ph.55.030193.002025
32
33 Slagter, H. A., Lutz, A., Greischar, L. L., Francis, A. D., Nieuwenhuis, S., Davis, J. M., &
34
35 Davidson, R. J. (2007). Mental training affects distribution of limited brain resources. *PLoS*
36
37 *Biology*, 5(6), e138. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050138
38
39 Slagter, H. A., Lutz, A., Greischar, L. L., Nieuwenhuis, S., & Davidson, R. J. (2009). Theta
40
41 phase synchrony and conscious target perception: impact of intensive mental training.
42
43 *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 21(8), 1536-1549. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2009.21125
44
45 Sobolewski, A., Holt, E., Kublik, E., & Wrobel, A. (2011). Impact of meditation on emotional
46
47 processing - A visual ERP study. *Neuroscience Research*, 71(1), 44-48. doi:
48
49 10.1016/j.neures.2011.06.002
50
51 Stinson, B., & Arthur, D. (2013). A novel EEG for alpha brain state training,
52
53 neurobiofeedback and behavior change. *Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice*,
54
55 19(3), 114-118. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2013.03.003
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3 Takahashi, T., Murata, T., Hamada, T., Omori, M., Kosaka, H., Kikuchi, M., . . . Wada, Y.
4
5 (2005). Changes in EEG and autonomic nervous activity during meditation and their
6
7 association with personality traits. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 55(2), 199-207.
8

9 doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2004.07.004

10
11 Tallon-Baudry, C., & Bertrand, O. (1999). Oscillatory gamma activity in humans and its role
12
13 in object representation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 3(4), 151-162. doi: 10.1016/S1364-
14
15 6613(99)01299-1
16

17
18 Tang, Y. Y., Ma, Y., Fan, Y., Feng, H., Wang, J., Feng, S., . . . Fan, M. (2009). Central and
19
20 autonomic nervous system interaction is altered by short-term meditation. *Proceedings of*
21
22 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(22), 8865-8870. doi:
23

24 10.1073/pnas.0904031106

25
26 Teper, R., & Inzlicht, M. (2013). Meditation, mindfulness and executive control: The
27
28 importance of emotional acceptance and brain-based performance monitoring. *Social*
29
30 *Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 8(1), 85-92. doi: 10.1093/scan/nns045
31

32
33 Teper, R., & Inzlicht, M. (2014). Mindful acceptance dampens neuroaffective reactions to
34
35 external and rewarding performance feedback. *Emotion*, 14(1), 105-114. doi:

36 10.1037/a0034296

37
38 van Leeuwen, S., Singer, W., & Melloni, L. (2012). Meditation increases the depth of
39
40 information processing and improves the allocation of attention in space. *Front Hum*
41
42 *Neurosci*, 6, 133. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00133
43

44
45 Walsh, R., & Shapiro, S. L. (2006). The meeting of meditative disciplines and western
46
47 psychology: A mutually enriching dialogue. *American Psychologist*, 61(3), 227-239. doi:
48
49 10.1037/0003-066X.61.3.227

50
51 Williams, J. D., & Gruzelier, J. H. (2001). Differentiation of hypnosis and relaxation by
52
53 analysis of narrow band theta and alpha frequencies. *International Journal of Clinical and*
54
55 *Experimental Hypnosis*, 49(3), 185-206. doi: 10.1080/00207140108410070
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Xue, S.-W., Tang, Y.-Y., Tang, R., & Posner, M. I. (2014). Short-term meditation induces changes in brain resting EEG theta networks. *Brain and Cognition*, 87, 1-6. doi:

10.1016/j.bandc.2014.02.008

Yu, X., Fumoto, M., Nakatani, Y., Sekiyama, T., Kikuchi, H., Seki, Y., . . . Arita, H. (2011). Activation of the anterior prefrontal cortex and serotonergic system is associated with improvements in mood and EEG changes induced by Zen meditation practice in novices.

International Journal of Psychophysiology, 80(2), 103-111. doi:

10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.02.004

For Peer Review

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure Legends


Figure 1. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)

Flow Diagram

For Peer Review

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Figure 1.



Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Table 1. Demographics of healthy participants

First author	Year	Meditators	Meditators (male)	Controls	Mean age meditators	Mean years meditating	Meditation type	Study type
Davidson	2003	25 (from 32)	6	16	36	0	MBCT	Pre-post
Dunn	1999	9 (from 10)	NR	-	NR	0	FA& MM	Pre-post
Kerr	2011	12 (from 16)	1	6	31	0	MBCT	Pre-post
Lomas	2014	30	30	-	42.3	10.1	Various (inc. MM)	Pre-post
Moore	2012	12 (from 19)	NR	16 (from 23)	36.9	0	MM	Pre-post
Saggar	2012	22 (from 30)	12	22 (from 30)	49.5	Experienced (yrs NR)	MM (retreat)	Pre-post
Slagter	2007	17	17	23	NR	Experienced (yrs NR)	Vipassana (retreat)	Pre-post
Slagter	2009	17	17	23	NR	Experienced (yrs NR)	Vipassana (retreat)	Pre-post
Tang	2009	40	NR	40	NR	0	Mind-body training	Pre-post
Xue	2014	45	29	24	22.9	0	Mind-body training	Pre-post
Amihai	2014	19	16	-	44.4	7.7	Vipassana	Non pre-post
Arita	2012	15	NA	-	NA	NA	Zen	Non pre-post
Becker	1981	30 (10 Zen)	17 (Zen=8)	10	32.7 (Zen=37.8)	6.5 (Zen=7.5)	Zen, TM & Yoga	Non pre-post
Berkovich-Ohana	2012	36	NR	12	41.7	3,673 (hrs)	MM	Non pre-post
Berkovich-Ohana	2013	36	NR	12	41.7	3,673 (hrs)	MM	Non pre-post
Brown	2010	12	6	15	34	NR	Various (inc. MM)	Non pre-post
Cahn	2010	16	11	-	45.5	20	Vipassana	Non pre-post
Cahn	2013	16	11	-	45.5	20	Vipassana	Non pre-post
Chan	2008	19	8	-	19-22 (range)	NR	Triarchic	Non pre-post
Ferrarelli	2013	29	14	29	50.7	15.6	MM	Non pre-post
Hinterberger	2011	49	33	-	45	40-1000 (hrs; range)	Various (inc. MM)	Non pre-post
Hinterberger	2014	49	33	-	45	40-1000 (hrs; range)	Various (inc. MM)	Non pre-post
Huang	2009	23	16	23	31.5	8.4	Zen	Non pre-post
Kasamatsu	1966	48	48	18	24-72 (range)	1-20 (range)	Zen	Non pre-post
Kubota	2001	25	11	-	23.1	0	Zen	Non pre-post
Lakey	2011	18	7	-	18-33 (range)	0	MM	Non pre-post
Lagopoulos	2009	18	13	-	52	9-14 (range)	Acem	Non pre-post
Lehmann	2012	71 (15 Zen)	NR	-	41.4 (Zen=42)	11.3 (Zen=12.3)	Various (inc. Zen)	Non pre-post
Lo	2003	20	NR	10	NR	NR	Zen	Non pre-post
Lo	2013	10	7	10	28	5.8	Zen	Non pre-post
Milz	2014	23	23	2	23.2	0	MM	Non pre-post
Murata	2004	22	22	-	23.3	0	Su-soku	Non pre-post
Ren	2011	32	23	16	23.3	0	Su-soku	Non pre-post
Sobolewski	2011	13	7	13	38.7	5 (minimum)	MM	Non pre-post
Stinson	2013	13	NR	-	NR	0	Neurofeedback	Non pre-post
Takahashi	2005	20	20	-	28.6	0	Zen	Non pre-post
Teper	2013	20	9	18	33	3.19	MM	Non pre-post
Teper	2014	45 (from 47)	27	-	19.26	0	Trait mindfulness	Non pre-post
van Leeuwen	2012	8	5	8	29	5	MM	Non pre-post
Yu	2011	15	14	-	38	0	Zen	Non pre-post

Note: MBCT = mindfulness-based cognitive therapy; MM = mindfulness meditation; NCC = neural correlates of consciousness; NR = not recorded; RCT = randomized controlled trial; TM = transcendental meditation; Number of meditators is presented in column headed by Meditators.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Table 2. Demographics of participants with a clinical history

First author	Year	<i>n</i> meditators	<i>n</i> males meditators	<i>n</i> controls	Mean age meditators	Meditation type	Psychiatric Disorder
Barnhofer	2007	10 (from 16)	5	12 (from 18)	48	MBCT	Suicidal depression
Barnhofer	2010	8	1	8	31.6	MM	Previously depressed
Bostanov	2012	32 (from 45)	9	32 (from 46)	50.9	MBCT	Depressed (remission)
Brown	2013	12	NA	15	NA	MM pain manage.	Chronic pain
Howells	2012	12	2	9	37	MBCT	Bipolar disorder
Keune	2013	40 (from 53)	10	37 (from 50)	48.9	MBCT	Depressed (remission)

Note: MBCT = mindfulness-based cognitive therapy; MM = mindfulness meditation; RCT = randomized controlled trial. All studies featured pre-post designs, and all except Howells were RCTs. All subjects participating had no previous experience with meditation.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Table 3. Results synthesis of papers according to principle findings and according to bandwidth or asymmetry

Outcome	MED > RS	MED = RS	MED < RS	Pre < post (linked to MED)	Pre > post (linked to MED)
Alpha power	Arita (2012); Cahn (2013); Dunn (1999) ; Hinterberger (2014); Huang (2009); Kasamatsu (1966); Lagopoulos (2009); (2003); Milz (2014) ; Murata (2004; coherence); Takahashi (2005) ; Yu (2011)	Berkovich-Ohana (2013; coherence); Cahn (2010); Kubota (2001) ; Lehmann (2012)	Amihai (2014)		Saggar (2012)
Beta power	Cahn (2013); Dunn (1999) ; Lo (2003; synchrony)	Cahn (2010); Lagopoulos (2009); Lehmann (2012); Milz (2014) ; Murata (2004; coherence); Yu (2011)	Amihai (2014)	Howells (2012)	Saggar (2012)
Theta power	Arita (2012); Cahn (2010); Chan (2008); Kasamatsu (1966); Kubota (2001) ; Lagopoulos (2009); Lehmann (2012); Lomas (2014); Takahashi (2005)	Amihai (2014); Berkovich-Ohana (2013; coherence); Milz (2014) ; Murata (2004; coherence)	Dunn (1999) ; Huang (2009); Yu (2011)	Howells (2012) ; Xue (2014)	Saggar (2012; in RS); Tang (2009)
Delta power	Cahn (2010; at frontal brain regions)	Amihai (2014); Cahn (2010; at central and parietal brain regions); Lagopoulos (2009); Milz (2014)	Dunn (1999)		
Gamma power	Berkovich-Ohana (2012); Cahn (2010); Lehmann (2012)	Amihai (2014); Berkovich-Ohana (2012; coherence); Milz (2014)			
Greater relative left-sided activation	Amihai (2014); Chan (2008)	Milz et al. (2014)		Barnhofer (2007) ; Barnhofer (2010) ; Davidson (2003)	Keune (2011) ; CNT also decreased)

Note: > = significantly greater than; < = significantly lower than; = = no significant differences; CNT = control group; MED = meditation; RS = resting state. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author/year being italicized in bold. All findings refer to amplitude, unless stated otherwise (e.g., coherence).

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 1. Quality rating of papers with healthy participant samples

First author	Year	Selection bias	Design	Confounders	Blinding	Data collection	Attrition	Global
Amihai	2014	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
Arita	2012	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Becker	1981	1	3	3	3	2	3	3
Berkovich-Ohana	2012	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
Berkovich-Ohana	2013	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
<i>Brown</i>	2010	2	1	3	2	1	2	2
Cahn	2010	1	3	3	3	1	3	3
Cahn	2013	1	3	3	3	1	3	3
Chan	2008	3	3	3	3	1	3	3
<i>Davidson</i>	2003	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
<i>Dunn</i>	1999	2	3	2	2	3	3	3
Ferrarelli	2013	1	1	1	2	1	2	1
Hinterberger	2011	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
Hinterberger	2014	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
Huang	2009	1	1	2	2	1	2	2
Kasamatsu	1966	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
<i>Kerr</i>	2011	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
<i>Kubota</i>	2001	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
<i>Lakey</i>	2011	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
Lagopoulos	2009	1	1	1	2	2	1	1
Lehmann	2012	2	1	2	2	1	2	2
Lo	2003	2	3	3	2	1	2	3
Lo	2013	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Lomas	2014	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
<i>Milz</i>	2014	1	1	1	2	1	2	1
<i>Moore</i>	2012	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>Murata</i>	2004	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
<i>Ren</i>	2011	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
Saggar	2012	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
Slagter	2007	3	3	3	2	1	2	3
Slagter	2009	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Sobolewski	2011	1	1	1	2	1	2	1
<i>Stinson</i>	2013	2	3	3	1	1	2	3
<i>Takahashi</i>	2005	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
<i>Tang</i>	2009	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
<i>Teper</i>	2013	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
<i>Teper</i>	2014	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
van Leeuwen	2012	1	1	1	2	1	2	1
<i>Xue</i>	2014	1	1	2	2	1	2	2
<i>Yu</i>	2011	2	3	2	3	2	2	3

Note: NA = full pdf not available. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being italicized in bold.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 2. Quality rating of papers with clinical samples

First author	Year	Selection bias	Design	Confounders	Blinding	Data collection	Attrition	Global
<i>Barnhofer</i>	2007	1	1	2	1	1	1	1
<i>Barnhofer</i>	2010	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>Bostanov</i>	2012	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
<i>Brown</i>	2013	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>Howells</i>	2012	1	1	1	2	1	2	1
<i>Keune</i>	2013	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Note: NA = not available. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being italicized in bold.

For Peer Review

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 3. Alpha bandwidth

Author	Year	Meditation	Meditation vs resting state	
			Protocol: Resting	Findings
<i>Higher amplitude during meditation</i>				
Arita	2012	NA	NA	MED > RS (stats NA)
Cahn	2013	21 mins (Vipassana)	21 mins (E-C)	MED > RS ($F(1, 15) = 6.64, p < .05$)
Dunn	1999	15 mins (FA) then 15 mins (MM)	15 mins (E-C)	MED (MM) > RS & FA. Stats NR
Hinterberger	2014	15 mins (self-chosen), 2 mins (MM), 2 mins (thoughtless emptiness), 2 mins (FA on 'third eye'), 2 mins (FA on body axis)	5 mins (E-O), 5 mins (E-C), 5 mins (reading)	MED (MM) > RS ($t = 2.7, p < .05$)
Huang	2009	40 mins (Zen) (vs 40 mins rest for CNT)		EXP (MED) > CNT (rest) ($F(1, 45) = 31.57, P < .0001$)
Kasamatsu	1966	Time NR (Zen)	Time NR (E-C)	MED > RS. Stats NR.
Lagopoulos	2009	20 mins (Acem)	20 mins (E-C)	MED > RS ($F(1, 17) = 7.19, p = .02$)
Lo	2003	40 mins (Zen)	15 mins (E-C)	MED > RS. Stats NR.
	2014	2 x 5 mins (breath counting)	3 x 5 mins (E-C)	Power: MED > RS ($t = 3.02, p = .036$); Coherence: MED = RS ($t(22) = 1.29, p = .20$);
Milz				
Murata	2004	15 mins (Su-soku; E-O)	15 mins (E-O)	MED > RS (coherence) ($t = 3.03, p < .01$)
Takahashi	2005	15 mins (Su-soku)	15 mins (E-O)	MED > RS ($F(1, 19) = 29.47, p < .001$)
Yu	2011	20 mins (Zen: tanden breathing)	2 mins (E-C)	MED > RS ($F = 9.31, p < .001$)
<i>Lower amplitude during meditation</i>				
Amihai	2014	Therevada = 15 mins (Samatha) & 15 mins (Vipassana); V=Vajarana = 15 mins (deity) & 15 mins (Rig-pa)	10 mins (E-C)	MED (Therevada) < RS ($F(2,18) = 6.84, p < 0.01$); MED (Vajrayana) = RS ($p > 0.8$)
<i>No significant differences (or no clear reportable patterns)</i>				
Berkovich-Ohana	2013	15 mins (MM)	2.5 mins (E-C) + 2.5 mins (E-O)	MED = RS (coherence); Stats NR. RS: EXP = CNT (coherence); Stats NR.
Cahn	2010	21 mins (Vipassana)	21 mins (E-C)	MED = RS ($F(1, 15) = 0.096, p = .76$)
Hinterberger	2011	15 mins (self-chosen), 2 mins (MM), 2 mins (thoughtless emptiness), 2 mins (FA on 'third eye'), 2 mins (FA on body axis)	5 mins (E-O), 5 mins (E-C), 5 mins (reading)	Complex analyses. Stats NU.
Kubota	2001	25 mins (Su-soku)	2.5 mins (cued-breathing)	MED = RS ($t = 0.68, p$ NR)
Lehmann	2012	60 mins (self-chosen)	4 mins (20 sec E-O, 40 sec E-C; x 4)	MED (Zen) = RS. $T = 1.81, p = 0.09$
Lo	2013	40 mins (Zen)	15 mins (E-C)	Complex analyses. Stats NU
<i>Pre-post changes</i>				
Saggar	2012	12 mins (MM: pre, mid, & post retreat)		Group x time interaction: ($F(2,41) = 23.26, p < .001$): pre-post decrease for EXP ($t(21) = 6.59, p < .001$), not CNT
Meditation vs task				
Author	Year	Meditation	Protocol: task	Findings
Becker	1981	30 mins (self-chosen MED: Zen, TM or Yoga), then 30 mins (self-chosen MED) concurrent with task	Auditory clicks (30 mins)	Task: EXP = CNT (alpha suppression). Stats NR
Berkovich-Ohana	2013	15 mins (MM)	Time-production task (2-3 mins)	EXP = CNT (coherence); Stats NR
Kerr	2011		Cued attention-detection runs	EXP (vs CNT): Enhanced alpha modulation in early (600-800ms period) (Mann-Whitney, $p < .01$)
Ren	2011	Time NR (Su-soku)	Problem solving (Time NR)	EXP (MED) < CNT (cognitive task) ($F(2, 45) = 4.14, p = .05$)
Stinson	2013	Time NR (relaxation – 'Alpha brain state exercise')	Neurofeedback video (Time)	MED = task. Stats NR.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

NR)

Note: > = significantly greater than; < =significantly lower than; = = no significant differences; CNT = control group; E-C = eyes-closed; E-O =eyes-open; EXP =experimental group; FA= focussed-attention (concentrative) meditation; (from ...) = initial number of participants in a pre-post study; MED = meditation; MM = Mindfulness meditation; NA = not available; NR = not reported; NU = not usable (here); NCC = neural correlates of consciousness (i.e., EEG measurement during MED vs RS); ROI = region of interest; RS = resting state. All bandwidth outcomes pertain to power, unless otherwise stated in parentheses (e.g., coherence). Most entries are comparing the experimental group (EXT; i.e., meditators) under different conditions (e.g., RS vs MED): significantly higher power levels during meditation are written as MED > RS; significantly lower levels as MED < RS; and no significant differences as MED = RS. Some entries are comparing two groups (i.e., EXP vs CNT) on a particular condition (e.g., RS): this will be indicated as RS: EXT >/=/< CNT. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being italicized in bold.

For Peer Review

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 4. Beta bandwidth

Author	Year	Meditation	Meditation vs resting state	
			Protocol: Resting	Findings
<i>Higher amplitude during meditation</i>				
Cahn	2013	21 mins (Vipassana)	21 mins (E-C)	MED > RS (synchrony) ($F(1, 15) = 9.01, p < .01$)
Dunn	1999	15 mins (FA) then 15 mins (MM)	15 mins (E-C)	MM > RS & FA. Stats NR
Lo	2003	40 mins (Zen)	15 mins (E-C)	MED > RS. Stats NR.
<i>Lower amplitude during meditation</i>				
Amihai	2014	Therevada = 15 mins (Samatha) & 15 mins (Vipassana); V=Vajrayana = 15 mins (deity) & 15 mins (Rig-pa)	10 mins (E-C)	MED (Therevada) < RS ($F(2,18) = 3.68, p < 0.05$); MED (Vajrayana) < RS ($F(2,18) = 8.42, p < 0.01$)
<i>No significant differences (or no clear reportable patterns)</i>				
Cahn	2010	21 mins (Vipassana)	21 mins (E-C)	MED = RS ($F(1, 15) = 0.62, p = .44$)
Hinterberger	2011	15 mins (self-chosen), 2 mins (MM), 2 mins (thoughtless emptiness), 2 mins (FA on 'third eye'), 2 mins (FA on body axis)	5 mins (E-O), 5 mins (E-C), 5 mins (reading)	Complex analyses. Stats NU.
Lagopoulos	2009	20 mins (Acem)	20 mins (E-C)	MED = RS ($F(1, 17) = 0.57, p = .46$)
Lehmann	2012	60 mins (self-chosen)	4 mins (20 sec E-O, 40 sec E-C; x 4)	MED (Zen) = RS. $T = 0.48, p = 0.63$
Milz	2014	2 x 5 mins (breath counting)	3 x 5 mins (E-C)	Power: MED = RS; Stats NR. Coherence: MED = RS ($t(22) = 0.11, p = .91$)
Murata	2004	15 mins (Su-soku; E-O)	15 mins (E-O)	EXP: MED = RS (coherence). Stats NR.
Yu	2011	20 mins (Zen: tanden breathing)	2 mins (E-C)	MED = RS ($F = 0.96, p = .44$)
<i>Pre-post changes</i>				
Sagar	2012	12 mins (MM: pre, mid, & post retreat)		Group x time interaction: ($F(2,41) = 7.11, p < .01$): pre-post decrease for EXP ($t(21) = 8.65, p < .001$), not CNT
Howells	2012		3 mins (E-O), 3 mins (E-C),	RS (EXP only): post < pre ($t = 2.23, p < .05$)
Meditation vs task				
Author	Year	Meditation	Protocol: task	Findings
Stinson	2013	Time NR (relaxation – 'Alpha brain state exercise')	Neurofeedback video (Time NR)	MED < task. Stats NR.

Note: > = significantly greater than; < = significantly lower than; = = no significant differences; CNT = control group; E-C = eyes-closed; E-O = eyes-open; EXP = experimental group; FA = focussed-attention (concentrative) meditation; (from ...) = initial number of participants in a pre-post study; MED = meditation; MM = Mindfulness meditation; NA = not available; NR = not reported; NU = not usable (here); NCC = neural correlates of consciousness (i.e., EEG measurement during MED vs RS); ROI = region of interest; RS = resting state. All bandwidth outcomes pertain to power, unless otherwise stated in parentheses (e.g., coherence). Most entries are comparing the experimental group (EXT; i.e., meditators) under different conditions (e.g., RS vs MED): significantly higher power levels during meditation are written as MED > RS; significantly lower levels as MED < RS; and no significant differences as MED = RS. Some entries are comparing two groups (i.e., EXP vs CNT) on a particular condition (e.g., RS): this will be indicated as RS: EXT >/=< CNT. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being italicized in bold.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 5. Theta bandwidth

Author	Year	Meditation	Meditation vs resting state	
			Protocol: Resting	Findings
<i>Higher amplitude during meditation</i>				
Arita	2012	NA	NA	MED > RS (stats NA)
Cahn	2010	21 mins (Vipassana)	21 mins (E-C)	MED > RS (condition x ROI interaction – only at specific sites ($F(2, 30) = 7.75, p = .002$). Increase in MED at Fz ($p = .006$), but not Cz ($p = .99$) or Pz ($p = .85$)
Chan	2008	12 mins (Triarchic Body Relaxation Technique)	5 mins (E-C)	MED > RS (a range of t-tests; $t = -3.73 - -4.82, p < .02$.
Kasamatsu	1966	Time NR (Zen)	Time NR (E-C)	MED > RS. Stats NR.
Kubota	2001	25 mins (Su-soku)	2.5 mins (cued-breathing)	MED > RS ($t = 6.14, p < .0001$)
Lagopoulos	2009	20 mins (Acem)	20 mins (E-C)	MED > RS ($F(1, 17) = 4.99, p = .04$)
Lehmann	2012	60 mins (self-chosen)	4 mins (20 sec E-O, 40 sec E-C; x 4)	MED (Zen) > RS. $T = 4.95, p < 0.001$.
Takahashi	2005	15 mins (Su-soku)	15 mins (E-O)	MED > RS ($F(1, 19) = 5.5, p = .031$)
<i>Lower amplitude during meditation</i>				
Dunn	1999	15 mins (FA) then 15 mins (MM)	15 mins (E-C)	MM < RS; Stats NR; MM > FA; Stats NR
Huang	2009	40 mins (Zen) (vs 40 mins rest for CNT)		EXP (MED) < CNT (rest) ($F(1, 45) = 28.68, p < .0001$)
Yu	2011	20 mins (Zen: tanden breathing)	2 mins (E-C)	MED < RS ($F = 9.85, p < .001$)
<i>No significant differences (or no clear reportable patterns)</i>				
Amihai	2014	Therevada = 15 mins (Samatha) & 15 mins (Vipassna); Vajarana = 15 mins (deity) & 15 mins (Rig-pa)	10 mins (E-C)	MED (Therevada) = RS ($F(2,18) = 1.11, p > 0.3$); MED (Vajrayana) = RS ($F(2,16) = 2.5, p > 0.1$)
Berkovich-Ohana	2013	15 mins (MM)	2.5 mins (E-C) + 2.5 mins (E-O)	MED = RS (coherence); Stats NR. RS: EXP = CNT (coherence); Stats NR.
Hinterberger	2011	15 mins (self-chosen), 2 mins (MM), 2 mins (thoughtless emptiness), 2 mins (FA on 'third eye'), 2 mins (FA on body axis)	5 mins (E-O), 5 mins (E-C), 5 mins (reading)	Complex analyses. Stats NU.
Milz	2014	2 x 5 mins (breath counting)	3 x 5 mins (E-C)	Power: MED = RS; Stats NR. Coherence: MED = RS ($t(22) = 0.62, p = .53$)
Murata	2004	15 mins (Su-soku; E-O)	15 mins (E-O)	EXP: MED = RS (coherence). Stats NR.
<i>Pre-post changes</i>				
Lomas	2014	10 mins (MM)	5 mins (E-C)	Pre: MED > RS ($F(1, 27) = 7.14, p = .013$); Post: MED > RS ($F(1, 27) = 5.74, p = .024$)
Tang	2009		Time NR (E-C)	Group x time interaction ($F(1, 32) = 4.92, p < .05$): pre-post decrease for EXP ($p < .05$), not CNT
Xue	2014		5 mins (E-C)	Group x time interaction (in connectivity) ($F(1,43) = 2.93; p = 0.09$). Pre: EXP = CNT ($p > .05$). Post: decreased path-length in EXP ($t(23) = 3.72, p = .001$), not CNT.
Meditation vs task				
Author	Year	Meditation	Protocol: task	Findings
Berkovich-Ohana	2013	15 mins (MM)	Time-production task (2-3 mins)	EXP = CNT (coherence); Stats NR
Howells	2012	Resting state: 3 mins (E-O), 3 mins (E-C)	Sustained attention (visual A-X continuous performance) (10 mins)	RS (EXP only): post < pre ($t = 2.29, p < .05$)
Slagter	2009		Attentional blink (time NR)	Group x time interaction: MED-related changes in the phase of target

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

<i>Stinson</i>	2013	Time NR (relaxation – 'Alpha brain state exercise')	Watching video – explaining neurofeedback (Time NR)	induced EEG responses. Stats NU MED < task. Stats NR.
----------------	------	---	---	--

Note: > = significantly greater than; < = significantly lower than; = = no significant differences; CNT = control group; E-C = eyes-closed; E-O = eyes-open; EXP = experimental group; FA = focussed-attention (concentrative) meditation; (from ...) = initial number of participants in a pre-post study; MED = meditation; MM = Mindfulness meditation; NA = not available; NR = not reported; NU = not usable (here); NCC = neural correlates of consciousness (i.e., EEG measurement during MED vs RS); ROI = region of interest; RS = resting state. All bandwidth outcomes pertain to power, unless otherwise stated in parentheses (e.g., coherence). Most entries are comparing the experimental group (EXT; i.e., meditators) under different conditions (e.g., RS vs MED): significantly higher power levels during meditation are written as MED > RS; significantly lower levels as MED < RS; and no significant differences as MED = RS. Some entries are comparing two groups (i.e., EXP vs CNT) on a particular condition (e.g., RS): this will be indicated as RS: EXT >/=/< CNT. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being italicized in bold.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 6. Delta bandwidth

Author	Year	Meditation	Meditation vs resting state	
			Protocol: Resting	Findings
<i>Higher amplitude during meditation</i>				
Cahn	2013	21 mins (Vipassana)	21 mins (E-C)	Expertise x state x ROI interaction(synchrony) ($F(2, 2, 28) = 5.83, p < .01$): long- term EXP higher synchrony during MED (vs RS) at frontal sites, but not central or parietal sites; for short term meds, MED = RS
<i>Lower amplitude during meditation</i>				
Dunn	1999	15 mins (FA) then 15 mins (MM)	15 mins (E-C)	MM < RS; Stats NR
<i>No significant differences (or no clear reportable patterns)</i>				
Amihai	2014	Therevada = 15 mins (Samatha) & 15 mins (Vipassana); Vajarana = 15 mins (deity) & 15 mins (Rig-pa)	10 mins (E-C)	Med < RS ($F(2,18) = 8.37, p < 0.01$). Post-hoc: no diff between– RS and Therevada MED (only Vajrayana MED)
Cahn	2010	21 mins (Vipassana)	21 mins (E-C)	MED = RS ($F(1, 15) = 1.85, p = .19$)
Hinterberger	2011	15 mins (self-chosen), 2 mins (MM), 2 mins (thoughtless emptiness), 2 mins (FA on 'third eye'), 2 mins (FA on body axis)	5 mins (E-O), 5 mins (E-C), 5 mins (reading)	Complex analyses. Stats NU.
Lagopoulos	2009	20 mins (Acem)	20 mins (E-C)	MED = RS ($F(1, 17) = 0.99, p = .34$)
Milz	2014	2 x 5 mins (breath counting)	3 x 5 mins (E-C)	Power: MED = RS. Stats NR
<i>Meditation vs task</i>				
Author	Year	Meditation	Protocol: task	Findings
Stinson	2013	Time NR (relaxation – 'Alpha brain stateexercise')	Watching video – explaining neurofeedback (Time NR)	MED < task. Stats NR.

Note: > = significantly greater than; < = significantly lower than; = = no significant differences; CNT = control group; E-C = eyes-closed; E-O = eyes-open; EXP = experimental group; FA = focussed-attention (concentrative meditation; (from ...)) = initial number of participants in a pre-post study; MED = meditation; MM = Mindfulness meditation; NA = not available; NR = not reported; NU = not usable (here); NCC = neural correlates of consciousness (i.e., EEG measurement during MED vs RS); ROI = region of interest; RS = resting state. All bandwidth outcomes pertain to power, unless otherwise stated in parentheses (e.g., coherence). Most entries are comparing the experimental group (EXT; i.e., meditators) under different conditions (e.g., RS vs MED): significantly higher power levels during meditation are written as MED > RS; significantly lower levels as MED < RS; and no significant differences as MED = RS. Some entries are comparing two groups (i.e., EXP vs CNT) on a particular condition (e.g., RS): this will be indicated as RS: EXT >/=< CNT. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being italicized in bold.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 7. Gamma bandwidth

Author	Year	Meditation	Meditation vs resting state	
			Protocol: Resting	Findings
<i>Higher amplitude during meditation</i>				
Berkovich-Ohana	2012	15 mins (MM)	2.5 mins (E-C) + 2.5 mins (E-O)	MED > RS ($F(1, 34) = 17.00, p < 0.0001$)
Cahn	2010	21 mins (Vipassana)	21 mins (E-C)	MED > RS ($F(1, 15) = 9.32, p = .008$)
Lehmann	2012	60 mins (self-chosen)	4 mins (20 sec E-O, 40 sec E-C; x 4)	MED (Zen) > RS. $T = 2.66, p = 0.019$.
<i>No significant differences (or no clear reportable patterns)</i>				
Amihai	2014	Therevada = 15 mins (Samatha) & 15 mins (Vipassana); Vajarana = 15 mins (deity) & 15 mins (Rig-pa)	10 mins (E-C)	MED (Therevada) = RS; Stats NR. MED (Vajrayana) < RS ($F(2,16) = 6.16, p > 0.01$)
Berkovich-Ohana	2013	15 mins (MM)	2.5 mins (E-C) + 2.5 mins (E-O)	MED = RS (coherence); Stats NR. RS: EXP = CNT (coherence); Stats NR.
Hinterberger	2011	15 mins (self-chosen), 2 mins (MM), 2 mins (thoughtless emptiness), 2 mins (FA on 'third eye'), 2 mins (FA on body axis)	5 mins (E-O), 5 mins (E-C), 5 mins (reading)	Complex analyses. Stats NU.
Milz	2014	2 x 5 mins (breath counting)	3 x 5 mins (E-C)	Power; MED = RS. Stats NR
<i>Meditation vs task</i>				
Author	Year	Meditation	Protocol: task	Findings
Berkovich-Ohana	2013	15 mins (MM)	Time-production task (2-3 mins)	EXP = CNT (coherence); Stats NR
Ferarelli	2013		Sleep	Correlation: MED experience & NREM gamma ($r = 0.47, p = .017$). No correlation with REM gamma
Stinson	2013	Time NR (relaxation – 'Alpha brain state exercise')	Watching video – explaining neurofeedback (Time NR)	MED < task. Stats NR.

Note: > = significantly greater than; < = significantly lower than; = = no significant differences; CNT = control group; E-C = eyes-closed; E-O = eyes-open; EXP = experimental group; FA = focussed-attention (concentrative) meditation; (from ...) = initial number of participants in a pre-post study; MED = meditation; MM = Mindfulness meditation; NA = not available; NR = not reported; NU = not usable (here); NCC = neural correlates of consciousness (i.e., EEG measurement during MED vs RS); ROI = region of interest; RS = resting state. All bandwidth outcomes pertain to power, unless otherwise stated in parentheses (e.g., coherence). Most entries are comparing the experimental group (EXT; i.e., meditators) under different conditions (e.g., RS vs MED): significantly higher power levels during meditation are written as MED > RS; significantly lower levels as MED < RS; and no significant differences as MED = RS. Some entries are comparing two groups (i.e., EXP vs CNT) on a particular condition (e.g., RS): this will be indicated as RS: EXT >/=< CNT. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being *bolded* in bold.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 8. Asymmetry Findings

Author	Year	Meditation	Meditation vs resting state	
			Protocol	Findings
<i>Increase in relative left-frontal activation linked to meditation</i>				
Amihai	2014	Therevada = 15 mins (Samatha) & 15 mins (Vipassna); Vajarana = 15 mins (deity) & 15 mins (Rig-pa)	10 mins resting state (E-C)	MED (Therevada): Condition x location interaction ($F(4,36) = 3.09, p < 0.05$). MED (Vipassana) > RS at left location ($p < 0.05$), but not right or center ($p > 0.2$)
Barnhofer	2007	8 x 1min (4 = E-O, 4 = E-C)	8 x 1min (4 = E-O, 4 = E-C)	Group x time interaction ($F(2, 19) = 3.7, p = .044$): pre-post decreases in relative left prefrontal asymmetry for CNT ($p = .003$), but not EXP ($p = .918$)
Barnhofer	2010	EXP = 15 mins (MM); CNT = 15 mins (LKM)	2 mins (E-C): EEG assessed pre and post MED	Pre-post increase (EXP & CNT) in relative left prefrontal activation ($F(1, 13) = 5.06, p = .04$)
Chan	2008	Triarchic Body Relaxation Technique (12 mins) 5 mins (E-C)	5 mins resting state (E-C)	MED > RS (left-sided activation); $F(1, 18) = 5.42, p = .032$
Davidson	2003	8 x 1min (4 = E-O, 4 = E-C)	Writing about experiences (EEG recorded 1 min before & 3 mins after)	Group x time interaction in RS ($F(1, 37) = 5.14, p < .05$): pre-post increase in relative left- activation for EXP (not CNT)
<i>Decrease in relative left-frontal activation linked to meditation</i>				
Keune	2011		Sad mood induction (sad music, and neg. experience recall). Time NR.	Pre-post decrease (EXP & CNT) in relative left prefrontal activation ($F(4, 64) = 3.38, p < .05$)
<i>No change in relative left-frontal activation linked to meditation</i>				
Milz	2014	2 x 5 mins (breath counting)	3 x 5 mins resting state (E-C)	Power: MED = RS. Stats NR

Note: > = significantly greater than; < = significantly lower than; = = no significant differences; CNT = control group; E-C = eyes-closed; E-O = eyes-open; EXP = experimental group; FA = focussed-attention (concentrative) meditation; (from ...) = initial number of participants in a pre-post study; MED = meditation; MM = Mindfulness meditation; NA = not available; NR = not reported; NU = not usable (here); NCC = neural correlates of consciousness (i.e., EEG measurement during MED vs RS); ROI = region of interest; RS = resting state. All bandwidth outcomes pertain to power, unless otherwise stated in parentheses (e.g., coherence). Most entries are comparing the experimental group (EXT; i.e., meditators) under different conditions (e.g., RS vs MED): significantly higher power levels during meditation are written as MED > RS; significantly lower levels as MED < RS; and no significant differences as MED = RS. Some entries are comparing two groups (i.e., EXP vs CNT) on a particular condition (e.g., RS): this will be indicated as RS: EXT >/=< CNT. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being italicized in bold.

Running title: The neurophysiology of mindfulness

Supplementary Table 9. ERP Findings

Author	Year	Meditation	Meditation vs task	
			Protocol: task	Findings
Bostanov	2012	20 mins (MM)	Auditory stimuli during MM	Increased pre-post 'Late contingent negative variation for EXP. but not CNT ($F = 7.7, p < .01$)
Brown	2010		Stimulation of pain (5 mins)	Lower activation for EXT than CNT in S2 and insula during pain stimulus, $t = 2.51, p < .05$
Brown	2013	-	Anticipation and simulation of pain (time NR)	Anticipatory and pain-evoked ERPs to acute pain reduced in EXT but not CNT (stats NA)
Lakey	2011	EXP = 6 mins (MM); CNT = 6 mins (non-MM-task)	P300-based brain-computer interface (BCI) task	Task: EXP > CNT (P300 amplitude peaks) ($t(16) = 2.10, P < .05$)
Moore	2012		Stroop (time NR)	Group x time interaction: pre-post increase in focusing attention (EXP only). Stats NU.
Slagter	2007		Attentional blink (time NR)	Group x time interaction ($F(1, 20) = 5.4, p = .03$): pre-post decrease in elicited P3B amplitude for EXP, not CNT
Sobolewski	2011		Looking at emotional pictures (Time NR)	Group x valence interaction ($p = .03$): EXP less affected by negative emotional load.
Teper	2013		Stroop (time NR)	Task: EXP > CMT (higher amplitude error-related negativity) ($F(1, 36) = 3.32, p < .04$)
Teper	2014		Performance feedback (neutral, aversive, and rewarding) (time NR)	Trait MM: predicts less differentiation of rewarding from neutral feedback. Stats NU
Van Leeuwen	2012		Target detection (time NR)	Task: MED > CNT (enhanced attentional processing). Stats NU.

Note: > = significantly greater than; < = significantly lower than; = = no significant differences; CNT = control group; E-C = eyes-closed; E-O = eyes-open; EXP = experimental group; FA = focussed-attention (concentrative) meditation; (from ...) = initial number of participants in a pre-post study; MED = meditation; MM = Mindfulness meditation; NA = not available; NR = not reported; NU = not usable (here); NCC = neural correlates of consciousness (i.e., EEG measurement during MED vs RS); ROI = region of interest; RS = resting state. All bandwidth outcomes pertain to power, unless otherwise stated in parentheses (e.g., coherence). Most entries are comparing the experimental group (EXT; i.e., meditators) under different conditions (e.g., RS vs MED): significantly higher power levels during meditation are written as MED > RS; significantly lower levels as MED < RS; and no significant differences as MED = RS. Some entries are comparing two groups (i.e., EXP vs CNT) on a particular condition (e.g., RS): this will be indicated as RS: EXT >/=/< CNT. Studies featuring novice participants are indicated by the author being italicized in bold.